



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/709,937	06/08/2004	Hsin-Hsien Yu	ACMP0069USA 3936	
27765 7:	590 03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			KHAN, SUHAIL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/709,937	YU, HSIN-HSIEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Suhail Khan	2617		

	Suhail Khan	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>2/2/2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOV	VANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origonal three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further contob; They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in beta 	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a of the NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	:	•	,
non-allowable claim(s).	ionable ii odbiiiittod iii a oopalato,	timoly mod amondine	in canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	and the second s	Il be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		, ,	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s)	
13. Other:	//-	tald	
	Jos	SEPH FEILD	
	SUPERVISOF	Y PATENT EXAMIN	IER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/709,937

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that cited prior art does not disclose "controlling a user interface of the cellular phone according to the statistics and the time counted by the clock". In col 5, lines 1-3, Liao et al show controlling the timing and performance behaviors of mobile devices. In addition, to further strengthen the rejection, Liao et al show that the client may process the timing parameters according to a predefined procedure (col 5, lines 44-46) and Hendrickson et al show using the information to replace "out ouf spec" (col 3, lines 58-60).